he Youngstown City School District, in partnership with parents and the community, is a beacon of hope,
ommitted to academic excellence and preparing all learners to become productive, responsible citizens of a
lobal society.

Youngstown City Schools

Academic Distress Commission

District Academic Recovery Plan

Adopted: June 28, 2010

Submitted by: Educational Service Center of Central Ohio

Title	Academic Recovery Plan Monitoring and Oversight
Area of Focus	Operations and Management
Description	The commission will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP), review applications, select and employ, for the purpose of monitoring the implementation of the Academic Recovery Plan, an independent contractor who demonstrates the following essential qualifications: 1.) Prior experience successfully monitoring the implementation of improvemer strategies in complex systems; 2.) A record of success in raising organization performance measures; 3.) Relevant expertise in effectively using data to monitor progress against performance standards;
Impact	The activity and involvement of an independent monitor will increase the likelihood that the Academic Recovery Plan will be implemented with fidelity thus maximizing its potential for positive impact on improved systems, instruction, and achievement
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term ·
Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	July 2010 through June 2012
Position/Department Responsible	Commission
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	American Reinvestment and Recovery Act
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$100,000.00
Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	RFP Issued: July 16, 2010 RFP Due: August 13, 2010 Contract Awarded: August 30, 2010 Contract Start: September 6, 2010 Action to renew for FY12: May 16, 2011 Contract End: June 30, 2011 Progress and implementation monitoring reports submitted to commission at th last meeting of each month Year 2 contract not to exceed 100 days
Monitoring Procedure	Progress reports from the ARP Monitor will include performance measures of implementation for all district and building improvement initiatives
Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly, at the last meeting of the month
Notes	200 day purchased services contract beginning 9/6/10 and ending 6/30/11 not t exceed a total cost of \$500 per day

14	Title	Systems Audit
15	Area of Focus	Operations and Management
18	Description	The commission will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP), review applications, select and employ an independent contractor for the purpose of completing a comprehensive audit of district systems, policies, and processes including but not limited to: a.) board policy, b.) administrative guidelines, c.) staffing levels, d.) staff evaluations, e.) building-level student codes of conduct, f.) staff handbooks, g.) core curriculum, h.) instructional resources, i.) pacing guides, j.) district-adopted common formative assessments to ensure alignment to Ohio's Academic Content Standards and appropriate levels of rigor
17	Impact	The Commission, Board of Education, and district leadership team will have a comprehensive gap analysis of all systems, policies, and processes with specific recommendations for improvement
18	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
19	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	July 2010 through March 2011
20	Position/Department Responsible	Commission
21	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	America Recovery and Reinvestment Act Funds
22	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$100,000.00
23	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	RFP Issued: July 16, 2010 RFP Due: August 13, 2010 Contract Awarded: August 30, 2010 Contract Start: September 6, 2010 Recommendations Due: March 28, 2011 Contract End: March 28, 2011
	Monitoring Procedure	Progress reports from the ARP Monitor will include performance measures of implementation for all district and building improvement initiatives
1	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports submitted to ARP Monitor five business days before the last meeting of the month
26	Notes	An estimated cost of \$1000 per day not to exceed a maximum of 100 contract days between 9/6/10 and 3/28/11

27	Title	Fiscal policy update
28	Area of Focus	Operations/Management
29	Description	The Board of Education with cooperation of the district Treasurer will comply with 100% fidelity recommendations of the Auditor of State as presented by the Fiscal Oversight Commission
30	Impact	The district will deploy improved fiscal processes and policies systems-wide that will maximize the resources available to the district to support teaching and learning
31	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
32	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	June through August, 2010
33	Position/Department Responsible	Board of Education
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	General Revenue Fund
35	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$0
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	75% of recommendations implemented: August 9, 2010 100% of recommendations implemented: October 30, 2010
37	Monitoring Procedure	The district treasurer will present written reports to the ADC that include descriptions of changes made in fiscal processes and policies to date and the impact those changes are having
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the first meeting of the month
39	Notes	The Fiscal Oversight Commission affirms that the district has implemented numerous of the audit recommendations to date.

40	Title	District ARP Implementation Team
41	Area of Focus	Operations/Management
42	Description	The superintendent shall establish and sustain a District ARP Implementation Team with representation from at least one teacher; superintendent's designee, HR/PD director, curriculum director, special education director, linkage coordinator designee, community leader(s) and parent representatives, board of education member, ADC designee, and facilitated by a designee of the State Support Team Region 5. The purpose of the ARP teams are to: 1.) facilitate and support implementation of the ARP district-wide with fidelity; 2.) maintain and report district level data to the ADC and Board of Education; 3.) build capacity of the building ARP teams to support implementation of the ARP at the building level with fidelity; 4.) communicate with the community, board of education, and ADC on matters related to implementation of the ARP
43	Impact	Improved systems and processes to ensure implementation of ARP with fidelity
	Action Type 3302.10 (i)(1)(a)	Long-term
	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	August 2010 thru June 2013
	Position/Department Responsible	Superintendent
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Professional Development Funds
	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$6,615.00
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Confirm all ARP District Team Members: August 30, 2010 Last date for first ARP District Team Meeting/Training: September 17, 2010 2010-11 dates and back-up dates finalized: September 17, 2010 Monthly meetings/trainings required through June 2011 except December
50	Monitoring Procedure	ARP District Implementation Team designee will present written reports to the ADC that include descriptions of training and activities of the ARP district team to date and the impact those changes are having the leadership structure of the district
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the first ADC meeting of the month
	Notes	10 meetings monthly Sept 2010- June 2011 (except in December) SST External Facilitator provided at no cost Estimated cost includes 15 teachers @ \$22.05 per hour x 10 mtgs x 2 hrs *This strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

53	Title	Increase District Enrollment
54	Area of Focus	Family Outreach and Community Partnership
55	Description	The Board of Education will deploy family and community engagement strategies that will result in increased district enrollment. Recommended steps may include: 1.) facilitating an Early Childhood Coordinated Services network including parents and families, early childhood caregivers, Help Me Grow, Head Start, SPARK, and other community organizations and service providers 2.) exploring alternative funding options for the expansion of existing early childhood outreach to ensure broad participation of families of 3-4 year olds 3.) coordinating and verifying annual health and developmental screenings for all pre-school aged children and assisting in connecting families to appropriate services based on screening results 4.) maintaining a district-wide Family and Civic Engagement Team that includes Family and Children First Council, families, community/business partners, staff and students to coordinate district efforts to provide comprehensive, community-wide learning supports 5.) conducting student, staff, and parent surveys of school climate and connectedness district-wide
56	Impact	Increased family and community engagement in the district through the district's active roll in connecting familities to community supports will enhance trust between the district district, community partners, and families thus keeping more students in the district
57	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	August 2010 thru June 2013
59	Position/Department Responsible	Board of Education
60	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Community Development Funds; Federal Neighborhood Grants; Foundations
61	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$25,000
62	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Complete community engagement communication plan: Sept 10, 2010 Family and Civic Engagement Team established: August 20, 2010 Last day for FCE first quarterly meeting: September 24, 2010 Quarterly meetings in December, March and June Early Childhood Network Team established: August 20, 2010 Last day for ECN team first quarter meeting: September 24,2010 Quarterly meetings in November, February, and May
63	Monitoring Procedure	The Board of Education will report family and community engagement strategies, actions, and timelines to the ARP Monitor and will also use the district newsletter and website to communicate activity with the district.
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly written reports will be submitted by the ARP Monitor to the commission chair five business days before the last ADC meeting of the month.
65	Notes	

66	Title	Leadership Mentors
67	Area of Focus	Operations/Management
68	Description	The commission will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the purpose of contracting with a cadre of Leadership Mentors to work side by side with principals to build their capacity in areas of building management, discipline, staff evaluation, feedback and support, and effectively monitoring implementation of strategies related to the Academic Recovery Plan
69	Impact	Enhanced capacity of the building principals will increase fidelity of implementation of effective instruction, accelerate achievement, decreased discipline incidents, improve building climate and trust between staff and administration, and uniformly communicate, implement and enforce the board adopted code of conduct
	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
71	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	2010-11
72 79	Position/Department Responsible	Superintendent or designee
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Professional Development Funds
74	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$405,000
75	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	RFP Issued: July 9, 2010 RFP Due: July 31, 2010 Contract Awarded: August 9, 2010 Proposed mentoring assignments and schedule due: August 20, 2010 Contract Start: August 23, 2010 Schedule of monthly after-school Principal meetings with proposed syllabus due: August 27, 2010 Last date for first monthly meeting: September 10, 2010 Contract End: June 10, 2011
	Monitoring Procedure	Mentors will report directly to ARP Monitor all performance measures including individual leadership development goals for each principal, principal attendance and participation in monthly meetings, implementation of recommended strategies, and general assessments of leadership knowledge, skill, and competency development
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports submitted to ARP Monitor five business days before the last meeting of the month. ARP Monitor will report progress to ADC on the last meeting of each month
78	Notes	Estimated cost includes 5 leadership mentors assigned to 15 principals for a total of 180 days per mentor not exceed \$500 per day Principal:Mentor ratio @ 3:1 allocated over 40 weeks (1.5 day per prin per wk) *This strategy aligns to US Department of Education's School Turnaround research as it pertains to the quality of principal leadership

79	Title	Building ARP Implementation Teams
80	Area of Focus	Operations/Management
81	Description	Establish and sustain building ARP Implementation Teams that include: (1) teacher from each grade level, a literacy teacher/specialist and math teacher/specialist, (1) special education teacher; counselor; administrator; linkage coordinator; community member; parent/family representative; board of education designee; The purpose of the ARP teams is to: 1.) facilitate and support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2.) maintain and report building level data to the District ARP team; 3.) provide coaching and PD when appropriate to support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 4.) communicate with the school staff on matters regarding the ARP; 5.) serve as liaisons for the District ARP team
82	Impact	Implementing systems and processes that involve distributed leadership will improved communication, build trust, and ensure implementation of ARP with fidelity
	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	August 2010 thru June 2013
60	Position/Department Responsible	Principal
೮೮	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title I, Title IIA
	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$15,435
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Confirm all ARP Building Team Members: August 30, 2010 Last date for first ARP Building Team Meeting/Training: Sept 24, 2010 Complete building communication plan: Sept 24, 2010 All 2010-11 dates/back-up dates finalized: September 24, 2010 Five meetings/trainings required through June 2011
	Monitoring Procedure	Leadership mentor will present written reports to the ARP Monitor including descriptions of training and meeting activities of the ARP building team and the impact those activities are having on the leadership structure and climate of the building
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the first ADC meeting in October, December, February, April and June
95	Notes	Meetings will be facilitated by the Leadership Mentor assigned to each building. Estimated costs: 10 teachers on 7 teams @ \$22.05 per hour x 5 mtgs x 2 hrs *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

Title	Teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams
Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
Description	Establish and sustain a teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams w representation by grade level (horizontal) and content area (vertical). The purpose of the teacher-based ARP teams are to 1. review implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2. maintain and report grade level data to the building ARP team; 3. identify needs and recommend supports and interventions for struggling students; 4. communicate with the building ARP teams regarding barriers to implementing the ARP or proposed changes to the ARP
Impact	Implementing teacher-based teams will improve communication amon teachers, build capacity, provide opportunities for teachers to share exemplary practices and ensure consistent implementation of ARP stretegies with fidelity
Action Type 3302.10 (l)(1)(a)	Long-term
Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	October 2010 thru June 2013
Position/Department Responsible	Principal
Resources 3302.10 (l)(1)(c)	No additional resources necessary
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$0
Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Last date for first Teacher Team Meeting: October 15, 2010 List of all teacher-based team meeting dates by grade level First list of Tier 2 students with specified interventions due: October 15 2010 Four meetings required through June 2011
Monitoring Procedure	Grade level designee will present Tier 2 lists and interventions to building principals and will describe the impact interventions have on the student learning
Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the last ADC meeting in October, January, March, and May
Notes	Meetings will be held during common planning time, before school or after school *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework an complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

105	Title	K-1 Class Size Reduction (15:1 Student Teacher Ratios)
106	Area of Focus	Literacy Development
107	Description	A team of K-1 teachers, building principals, literacy specialist, and representatives from the district leadership team will recruit, select, and assign additional K-1 teachers in order to maintain classroom student teacher ratios that do not exceed 15:1 in grades Kindergarten and first grade. All internal and external applicants for new K-1 postings must demonstrate competency and show knowledge, skill and understanding of the following prior to selection and assignment: 1.) use of evidence-based strategies for word study and vocabulary development 2.) self-selected and guided reading strategies that support reading comprehension 3.) the use of writing as a tool for learning and communication
108	Impact	Reducing student teacher ratios in the primary grades and staffing those classrooms with highly skilled teachers will result in more than one year expected gain in literacy development
109	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
110	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	August 2010 through June 2013
* * *	Position/Department	Director of Human Resources
111	Responsible	
112	Resources	American Reinvestment and Recovery Act, Title IIA Class-size
	3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Reduction Funds
113	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$2,042,760.00
	Benchmarks	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010
	3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 6, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 Diagnostic reading assessment given to all K-1 students: Sept 10, 2010 Benchmark assessments administered: November, February, May
115	Monitoring Procedure	Results of benchmark assessments will be scored by classroom teachers and reported to the building principal who will report aggregated grade level results to the ARP Monitor
116	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be reported to the ADC at the last meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, and May
117	Notes	Estimated 30 additional teachers @ \$68,092 per teacher including salary and benefits *Strategy aligns directly with HB1 and OEBM **Criteria for selecting and assigning staff overrides CBA 6.072(B)(1)(a) and 6.074(A) ***Title IIA reallocation of funds will require waiver

118	Title	Double Literacy Intervention
119	Area of Focus	Literacy Development
120	Description	Each elementary will establish and staff "Double Literacy" intervention classrooms for students in grades 2-5 who are a full grade level or more behind in reading and writing proficiency as measured by common formative assessments aligned to Ohio's Academic Content Standards in ELA. DL classrooms may be multi-age but may not include students more than one grade level apart (i.e 2-3, 3-4, 4-5)
121	Impact	Additional literacy instructional time for students will result in more than one year expected gain in literacy development
122	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
128	Timeline 3302.10 (i)(1)(b)	2010-11
124	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
125	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	General Revenue Fund
	Estimated Cost Per Year	No additional cost
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010 Team including Gr 2-5 teachers develops selection criteria and required competencies: August 6, 2010 Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 13, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 Diagnostic reading assessment given to all 2, 4, 5 students by Sept 10, 2010. Grade 3 will take fall OAA. Double Literacy classroom lists determined by Sept 17, 2010 Benchmark assessments administered: November, February, May Double Literacy classroom lists re-rostered within 10 school days of benchmark assessment
	Monitoring Procedure	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be scored by classroom teachers and reported to the building principal who will report aggregated grade level results to the ARP Monitor
	- . •	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be reported to the ADC at the last meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, and May
130	Notes	Double literacy classes staffed by existing teachers *Competency criteria for selecting and assigning staff shall override CBA 6.072(B)(1)(a) and 6.074(A)

131	Title	School Literacy Coaches
132	Area of Focus	Literacy
133	Description	Provide intensive, targeted coaching and professional development to teachers who do not show evidence or demonstrate sufficient knowledge, skill, and competency in literacy instruction as defined by Ohio's Academic Content Standards and evidence-based literacy frameworks
134	Impact	Targeted coaching and professional development will result in enhanced fidelity of implementation of evidence-based literacy practices along with increased capacity and professional growth of the teacher
135	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
136	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	September 2010 through June 2013
137	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
138	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title I, School Improvement Grant, Reading First
139	Per Year	\$136,184.00
140	Benchmarks 3302.10 (l)(1)(d)	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010 Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 6, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 First round of classroom visits completed Sept 17, 2010 Modeling and targeted assistance begins: September 27, 2010 Second round of classroom visits: November 19, 2010 Third round of classroom visits complete: February 11, 2011 Final round of classroom visits complete: May 13, 2011
141	Monitoring Procedure	Classroom visit information from each round will be aggregated into grade level reports and presented to the building principal for Professional Development planning ONLY
142	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Principal will report of professional development planning and implementation to the Executive Director of C/I who will aggregate the information into a grade band PD report to the ADC at the first meeting in Oct, Dec, March and June
148	Notes	One (1) literacy coach per building (7 total) Five (5) literacy coaches are currently assigned to buildings, so 2 additional will be needed at an estimated cost of \$68092.70 per teacher *Number of classroom visits prescribed for literacy coaches exceeds the number of visits defined in CBA 6.034

144	Title	Public Pre-School Staffing
145	Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
146	Description	The district will develop and deploy internal processes for recruiting, selecting, and assigning pre-k teachers and teaching assistants who demonstrate evidence of knowledge, skill, and competencies aligned to Ohio's Early Learning Content Standards
147	Impact	Ensuring that Pre-K teachers use instruction and assessment strategies aligned to Ohio's Early Learning Content Standards will increase KRAL scores in Bands 2 and 3 and decrease the number of students in KRAL Band 1
148	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
148	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	August 2010 through June 2011
150	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Human Resources
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	No additional resources are required
	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$0
- 1	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Pre-K Selection Team established: August 6, 2010 Complete analysis of OEL content standards: August 30, 2010 Complete process map of Pre-K employment practices: October 30, 2010 Identify essential characteristics for Pre-K staff: January 31, 2011 Develop Pre-K standards-based screening tool: March, 31, 2011 Final revisions of Pre-K screening and selection tool complete: April 30, 2011 Screening tool deployed: May 1, 2011
	Monitoring Procedure	Progress of the development of the Pre-K tool will be reported to the ARP Monitor five business days prior to the last ADC meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, April and May
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Progress will be reported to the ADC by the ARP Monitor at the last meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, April and May
156	Notes	This capacity-building process is intended to be mirrored for other jobalike positions in years 2 and 3. Notes and records should be kept accordingly.

157	Title	Intervention/Acceleration Services
158	Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
	Description	The district will provide a comprehensive system of intervention/acceleration services to meeting the individual needs of all learners. The system will include short-cycle district-wide reading, writing, and math assessments aligned to academic content standards, a longitudinal data system and planning tool to collect, report, and assist in monitoring student progress, and intensive professional development, coaching and technical support to all staff working with youth who have intensive academic and non-academic needs to ensure these staff have the knowledge and skills needed to meet the unique needs of youth with multiple risk factors and talents
	Impact	Processes, systems, and training in academic and non-academic supports will enhance classroom and other school-based services provided to struggling students
	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	January 2011 through June 2013
	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title IIA, Title VIB
l	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$30,000.00
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Dist ARP IT selects short-cycle assessments: Jan 31, 2011 Selection of longitudinal data system: Feb 28, 2011 General assessment of intervention PD complete: March 1, 2011 Schedule of professional development completed: April 30, 2011 Last day for round 1 of district-wide PD: June 17, 2011 Job-embedded intervention PD with targeted coaching to be included in building and teacher team training and development for 2011-2012 school year
	Monitoring Procedure	Survey and needs assessment results will be presented by Elementary principals to Executive Director for Professional Development planning ONLY; Executive Director will report of professional development planning and implementation to the ARP Monitor five days prior to the first ADC meeting in the months of May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	The ARP Monitor presents progress report to the ADC at the first meeting in May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012
169	Votes	Training costs estimated at \$100 per teacher x 300 teachers *Strategy aligns to Ohio's Race to the Top Application

Title	Asset Mapping
Area of Focus	Positive Youth Development
Description	All elementary buildings will complete an inventory, audit, and asset not all school-based and community-based services designed to enhancement and family involvement in, meet the social/emotional needs of and support teachers in building on the cultural backgrounds of elementary students (e.g. Parent Patrol, Capturing Kids Hearts, Care Teams, Linkage Coordinators)
Impact	Aligning these programs will improve relationships among families, school staff and community partners resulting in improved services fo children and families, increased student engagement, decreased discipline referrals, and increased attendance
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	January 2011 thru June 2013
Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Community Development Funds; Federal Neighborhood Grants; Foundations
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$20,000
Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Asset map by building complete: June 10, 2011 Gap analysis by building complete: August 12, 2011 Building-level asset teams established: September 2, 2011 Last date for first building asset team meeting: September 30, 2011 Comprehensive Asset-building plan due: October 14, 2011 Quarterly meetings in December, March and June Summer connections and asset-building plan complete and communicated to parents and families by April 27, 2012
fonitoring Procedure	Each building team will report results of asset mapping project as well as family and community engagement strategies, actions, and timelines to the ARP Monitor. The building will also use the school newsletter and website to communicate both school and community-based activities throughout the school's attendance area
rogress Report Due 302.10 (l)(1)(d)	Reports will be submitted to the ARP Monitor chair five business days before the last ADC meetings in June 2011, August 2011, October 2011, January 2012, and April 2012
otes	Estimated cost is calculated at \$2,000 per building

183	Title	Building ARP Implementation Teams
184	Area of Focus	Operations/Management
185	Description	Establish and sustain building ARP Implementation Teams that include: (1) teacher each from Gr 6, Gr 7, and Gr 8, including a literacy teacher/specialist and math teacher/specialist, (1) special education teacher; counselor; administrator; linkage coordinator; community member; parent/family representative; board of education designee; The purpose of the ARP teams is to: 1.) facilitate and support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2.) maintain and report building level data to the District ARP team; 3.) provide coaching and PD when appropriate to support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 4.) communicate with the school staff on matters regarding the ARP; 5.) serve as liaisons for the District ARP team
186	Impact	Implementing systems and processes that involve distributed leadership will improved communication, build trust, and ensure implementation of ARP with fidelity
187	Action Type 3302.10 (l)(1)(a)	Long-term
7.883	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	August 2010 thru June 2013
189	Position/Department Responsible	Principal
3.34	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title I, Title IIA
1.57	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$5,292
192	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Confirm all ARP Building Team Members: August 30, 2010 Last date for first ARP Building Team Meeting/Training: Sept 24, 2010 Complete building communication plan: Sept 24, 2010 All 2010-11 dates/back-up dates finalized: September 24, 2010 Five meetings/trainings required through June 2011
1	Monitoring Procedure	Leadership mentor will present written reports to the ARP Monitor including descriptions of training and meeting activities of the ARP building team and the impact those activities are having on the leadership structure and climate of the building
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the first ADC meeting in October, December, February, April and June
195	Notes	Meetings will be facilitated by the Leadership Mentor assigned to each building. Estimated costs: 6 teachers on 4 teams @ \$22.05 per hour x 5 mtgs x 2 hrs *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

196	Title	Teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams
197	Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
198	Description	Establish and sustain a teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams with representation by grade level (horizontal) and content area (vertical). The purpose of the teacher-based ARP teams are to 1. review implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2. maintain and report grade level data to the building ARP team; 3. identify needs and recommend supports and interventions for struggling students; 4. communicate with the building ARP teams regarding barriers to implementing the ARP or proposed changes to the ARP
199	Impact	Implementing teacher-based teams will improve communication among teachers, build capacity, provide opportunities for teachers to share exemplary practices and ensure consistent implementation of ARP stretegies with fidelity
200	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
201	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	October 2010 thru June 2013
202	Position/Department Responsible	Principal
203	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	No additional resources necessary
1500 2	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$0
	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Last date for first Teacher Team Meeting: October 15, 2010 List of all teacher-based team meeting dates by grade level First list of Tier 2 students with specified interventions due: October 15, 2010 Four meetings required through June 2011
	Monitoring Procedure	Grade level designee will present Tier 2 lists and interventions to building principals and will describe the impact interventions have on the student learning. The building principals will aggregate the reports and submit a building report to the ARP Monitor five business days before the last ADC meeting in the months of Oct, Jan, March, and May
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC by the ARP Monitor at the last ADC meeting in October, January, March, and May
206	Notes	Teacher team meetings will be conducted during common planning time, before school or after school *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

209	Title	Double Literacy Intervention
210	Area of Focus	Literacy Development
211	Description	Each middle school will establish and staff "Double Literacy" intervention classrooms for students who are a full grade level or more behind in reading and writing proficiency as measured by common formative assessments aligned to Ohio's Academic Content Standards in ELA. DL classrooms may be multi-age but may not include students more than one grade level apart (i.e 6-7, 7-8). Double literacy will be substituted in the schedule for an elective or exploratory class to be determined collaboratively by the principal, ELA grade level team, and parent/guardian of the student. Students will be re-rostered into the elective class only upon demonstration of grade level proficiency on benchmark assessments.
212	Impact	Additional instructional time for students in reading and writing will result in more than one year expected gain in literacy development
213	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
214	Timeline	2010-11
	3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	
215	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
216	Resources	KnowledgeWorks Grant for intervention services
	3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	
217	Estimated Cost Per Year	No additional cost to district
218	Benchmarks	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010
	3302.10 (l)(1)(d)	Team including Gr 6-8 teachers develops selection criteria and required competencies: August 6, 2010 Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 13, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 Diagnostic reading assessment given to all 6, 7, 8 students by Sept 10, 2010. Double Literacy classroom lists determined by Sept 17, 2010 Benchmark assessments administered: November, February, May Double Literacy classroom lists re-rostered within 10 school days of benchmark assessment
-	Monitoring Procedure	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be scored by classroom teachers and reported to the building principal who will report aggregated grade level results to the ARP Monitor
	, , , , ,	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be reported to the ADC at the last meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, and May
221	Notes	Double Literacy intervention classes staffed by current teachers *Competency criteria for selecting and assigning staff shall override CBA 6.072(B)(1)(a) and 6.074(A)

222 Title	School Literacy Coaches
223 Area of Foc	s Literacy
Description	Provide intensive, targeted coaching and professional development to teachers who do not show evidence or demonstrate sufficient knowledge, skill, and competency in teaching literacy skills across content areas as outline in Ohio's English Language Arts Academic Content Standards and generally accepted evidence-based literacy frameworks
225 Impact	Targeted coaching and professional development will result in enhanced fidelity of implementation of evidence-based literacy practices across the curriculum along with increased alignment, consistency and support of literacy instruction throughout the content areas
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1	Long-term (a)
²²⁷ Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1	September 2010 through June 2013 (b)
Position/Dep	
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1	Title I, School Improvement Grant, Reading First (c)
Estimated C Per Year	
3302.10 (I)(1	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010 Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 6, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 First round of classroom visits completed Sept 17, 2010 Modeling and targeted assistance begins: September 27, 2010 Second round of classroom visits: November 19, 2010 Third round of classroom visits complete: February 11, 2011 Final round of classroom visits complete: May 13, 2011
Monitoring Procedure	Classroom visit information from each round will be aggregated into grade level reports and presented to the building principal for Middle School Professional Development planning ONLY; Each MS principal will report professional development planning and implementation to the Executive Director of C/I who will aggregate the information into a MS PD report for the ARP Monitor five days prior to the first ADC meeting in Oct, Dec, March and June
Progress Re 3302.10 (I)(1)	(d) meeting in the months of Oct, Dec, March and June
Notes 234	One (1) literacy specialists for each middle school at \$68092.70 per year *Number of classroom visits prescribed for literacy coaches exceeds the number of visits defined in CBA 6.034

Title	Asset Mapping
Area of Focus	Positive Youth Development
Description	All Middle Schools will complete an inventory, audit, and asset map of school-based and community-based programs, activities, and service designed to enhance parent and family involvement in, meet the social/emotional needs of, and support teachers in building on the cultural backgrounds of middle school students to strengthen their connectedness to school and the community as a whole; this analysis will also include determining the level of involvement in each school-based and community based activity BY STUDENT and working with student and familty to develop an asset development plan
impact impact	Aligning these programs will improve relationships among families, school staff and community partners resulting in improved services for children and families, increased student engagement, decreased discipline referrals, and increased attendance
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term
Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	September 2010 thru June 2011
Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Community Development Funds; Federal Neighborhood Grants; Foundations
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$5,000
Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Asset map by building complete: September 30, 2010 Gap analysis by building complete: October 22, 2010 50% of MS student plans complete: December 3, 2010 75% of MS student plans complete: February 18, 2011 100% of MS student plans complete: April 15, 2011 Comprehensive asset-building plan due: November 12, 2010 Summer connections plan complete and communicated to parents and families by April 29, 2011
Monitoring Procedure	Each building principal will report results of asset mapping project as well as individual and building-wide asset-building strategies, actions, and timelines to the ARP Monitor. The building will also use the school newsletter and website to communicate both school and community-based activities throughout the school's attendance area
Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Reports will be submitted to the ARP Monitor chair five business days before the last ADC meetings in November 2010, February 2011, and April 2011
Notes	Estimated cost is calculated at \$5 per student x 1,000 students

248	Title	Intervention/Acceleration Services
249	Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
250	Description	The district will provide a comprehensive system of intervention/acceleration services to meeting the individual needs of all learners. The system will include short-cycle district-wide reading, writing, and math assessments aligned to academic content standards, a longitudinal data system and planning tool to collect, report, and assist in monitoring student progress, and intensive professional development, coaching and technical support to all staff working with youth who have intensive academic and non-academic needs to ensure these staff have the knowledge and skills needed to meet the unique needs of youth with multiple risk factors and talents
251	Impact	Processes, systems, and training in academic and non-academic supports will enhance classroom and other school-based services provided to struggling students
252	Action Type 3302.10 (l)(1)(a)	Long-term
253	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	January 2011 through June 2013
254	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
255	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title IIA, Title VIB
256	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$15,000
25/	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Dist ARP IT selects short-cycle assessments: Jan 31, 2011 Selection of longitudinal data system: Feb 28, 2011 General assessment of intervention PD complete: March 1, 2011 Schedule of professional development completed: April 30, 2011 Last day for round 1 of district-wide PD: June 17, 2011 Job-embedded intervention PD with targeted coaching to be included in building and teacher team training and development for 2011-2012 school year
258	Monitoring Procedure	Survey and needs assessment results will be presented by Elementary principals to Executive Director for Professional Development planning ONLY; Executive Director will report of professional development planning and implementation to the ARP Monitor five days prior to the first ADC meeting in the months of May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012
259	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	The ARP Monitor presents progress report to the ADC at the first meeting in May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012
260	Notes	Training costs estimated at \$100 per teacher x 150 teachers *Strategy aligns to Ohio's Race to the Top Application

261 Title	Building ARP Implementation Teams
262 Area of Focus	Operations/Management
Description 263	Establish and sustain building ARP Implementation Teams that include: (1) teacher each from Gr 9, Gr 10, Gr 11 and Gr 12, including a literacy teacher/specialist and math teacher/specialist, (1) special education teacher; counselor; administrator; linkage coordinator; community member; parent/family representative; board of education designee; The purpose of the ARP teams is to: 1.) facilitate and support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2.) maintain and report building level data to the District ARP team; 3.) provide coaching and PD when appropriate to support implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 4.) communicate with the school staff on matters regarding the ARP; 5.) serve as liaisons for the District ARP team
Impact 26¢	Implementing systems and processes that involve distributed leadership will improved communication, build trust, and ensure implementation of ARP with fidelity
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	August 2010 thru June 2013
Position/Department Responsible	
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title I, Title IIA
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$5,292
Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Confirm all ARP Building Team Members: August 30, 2010 Last date for first ARP Building Team Meeting/Training: Sept 24, 2010 Complete building communication plan: Sept 24, 2010 All 2010-11 dates/back-up dates finalized: September 24, 2010 Five meetings/trainings required through June 2011
Monitoring Procedure	Leadership mentor will present written reports to the ARP Monitor including descriptions of training and meeting activities of the ARP building team and the impact those activities are having on the leadership structure and climate of the building
Progress Report D 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC chair five business days before the first ADC meeting in October, December, February, April and June
Notes 273	Meetings will be facilitated by the Leadership Mentor assigned to each building. Estimated costs: 6 teachers on 4 teams @ \$22.05 per hour x 5 mtgs x 2 hrs *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

274 Title	Teacher-Based ARP Implementation Teams
275 Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement
Description 276	Establish and sustain a teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams with representation by grade level (horizontal) and content area (vertical). The purpose of the teacher-based ARP teams are to 1. review implementation of the ARP with fidelity; 2. maintain and report grade level data to the building ARP team; 3. identify needs and recommend supports and interventions for struggling students; 4. communicate with the building ARP teams regarding barriers to implementing the ARP or proposed changes to the ARP
277 Impact	Implementing teacher-based teams will improve communication among teachers, build capacity, provide opportunities for teachers to share exemplary practices and ensure consistent implementation of ARP stretegies with fidelity
Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Long-term
279 Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	October 2010 thru June 2013
Position/Department Responsible	Principal
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	No additional resources necessary
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$0
283 Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Last date for first Teacher Team Meeting: October 15, 2010 List of all teacher-based team meeting dates by grade level First list of Tier 2 students with specified interventions due: October 15, 2010 Four meetings required through June 2011
Monitoring Procedure 284	Grade level designee will present Tier 2 lists and interventions to building principals and will describe the impact interventions have on the student learning. The building principals will aggregate the reports and submit a building report to the ARP Monitor five business days before the last ADC meeting in the months of Oct, Jan, March, and May
Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Monthly reports will be submitted to the ADC by the ARP Monitor at the last ADC meeting in October, January, March, and May
Notes 286	Teacher team meetings will be conducted during common planning time, department meetings, before school or after school *Strategy aligns to the Ohio Leadership Advisory Council framework and complies with Ohio's Differentiated Accountability Model

287	Title	Double Literacy/Double Math Intervention
288	Area of Focus	Literacy and Math Development
289	Description	Each high school will establish and staff "Double Literacy" and "Double Math" intervention classrooms for HS students who are a full grade level or more behind in reading, writing, and math proficiency as measured by common formative assessments aligned to Ohio's Academic Content Standards in ELA and mathematics. DL and DM classrooms may be multi-age but may not include students more than one grade level apart (i.e 9-10, 10-11, 11-12). Double Literacy and Double Math will be substituted in the schedule for electives or exploratory classes to be determined collaboratively by the principal, subject area or grade level teacher teams, and parent/guardian of the student. Students will be rerostered into the elective class upon demonstration of grade level proficiency on benchmark assessments.
290	Impact	Additional instructional time for students in reading, writing, and math will result in more than one year expected gain in literacy and numeracy development
	Action Type 3302.10 (l)(1)(a)	Short-term
292	Timeline 3302.10 (l)(1)(b)	2010-11
280	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support
	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	KnowledgeWorks Grant for intervention services
295	Estimated Cost Per Year	No additional cost to district
	Benchmarks	Positions posted internally: July 16, 2010
	3302.10 (l)(1)(d)	Team including HS teachers develops selection criteria and required competencies: August 6, 2010 Final internal candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 13, 2010 External candidates interviewed/selected/assigned: August 20, 2010 Diagnostic reading assessment given to all 6, 7, 8 students by Sept 10, 2010. Double Literacy classroom lists determined by Sept 17, 2010 Benchmark assessments administered: November, February, May Double Literacy classroom lists re-rostered within 10 school days of benchmark assessment
	Monitoring Procedure	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be scored by classroom teachers and reported to the building principal who will report aggregated grade level results to the ARP Monitor
	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Results of diagnostic and benchmark assessments will be reported to the ADC at the last meeting in Sept, Nov, Feb, and May
299	Notes	Double literacy and math intervention classes staffed by existing teachers *Competency criteria for selecting and assigning staff shall override CBA 6.072(B)(1)(a) and 6.074(A)

300	Title	Asset Mapping	
301	Area of Focus	Positive Youth Development	
302	Description	Each High School will complete an inventory, audit, and asset map of all school-based and community-based programs, activities, and services designed to enhance parent and family involvement in, meet the social/emotional needs of, and support teachers in building on the cultural backgrounds of middle school students to strengthen their connectedness to school and the community as a whole; this analysis will also include determining the level of involvement in each school-based and community based activity BY STUDENT and working with the student and familty to develop an asset development plan	
303	Impact	Aligning these programs will improve relationships among families, school staff and community partners resulting in improved services for children and families, increased student engagement, decreased discipline referrals, and increased attendance	
304	Action Type 3302.10 (I)(1)(a)	Short-term	
305	Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	September 2010 thru June 2011	
306	Position/Department Responsible	Director of Curriculum and Community Support	
307	Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Community Development Funds; Federal Neighborhood Grants; Foundations	
308	Estimated Cost Per Year	\$7,500	
309	Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Asset map by building complete: September 30, 2010 Gap analysis by building complete: October 22, 2010 50% of HS student plans complete: December 3, 2010 75% of HS student plans complete: February 18, 2011 100% of HS student plans complete: April 15, 2011 Comprehensive asset-building plan due: November 12, 2010 Summer connections plan complete and communicated to parents and families by April 29, 2011	
310	Monitoring Procedure	Each HS principal will report results of asset mapping project as well as individual and building-wide asset-building strategies, actions, and timelines to the ARP Monitor. Each HS will also use the school newsletter and website to communicate both school and community-based activities throughout the school's attendance area	
311	Progress Report Due 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Reports will be submitted to the ARP Monitor chair five business days before the last ADC meetings in November 2010, February 2011, and April 2011	
312 Notes		Estimated cost is calculated at \$5 per student x 1500 students	

313 Title	Intervention/Acceleration Services	
314 Area of Focus	Academic Rigor and Student Engagement	
Description 815	The district will provide a comprehensive system of intervention/acceleration services to meeting the individual needs of all learners. The system will include short-cycle district-wide reading, writing, and math assessments aligned to academic content standards, a longitudinal data system and planning tool to collect, report, and assist in monitoring student progress, and intensive professional development, coaching and technical support to all staff working with youth who have intensive academic and non-academic needs to ensure these staff have the knowledge and skills needed to meet the unique needs of youth with multiple risk factors and talents	
316 Impact	Processes, systems, and training in academic and non-academic supports will enhance classroom and other school-based services provided to struggling students	
317 Action Type 3302.10 (l)(1)(a)	Long-term	
318 Timeline 3302.10 (I)(1)(b)	January 2011 through June 2013	
Position/Departmen Responsible	Образи	
Resources 3302.10 (I)(1)(c)	Title IIA, Title VIB	
Estimated Cost Per Year	\$20,000	
322 Benchmarks 3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	Dist ARP IT selects short-cycle assessments: Jan 31, 2011 Selection of longitudinal data system: Feb 28, 2011 General assessment of intervention PD complete: March 1, 2011 Schedule of professional development completed: April 30, 2011 Last day for round 1 of district-wide PD: June 17, 2011 Job-embedded intervention PD with targeted coaching to be included in building and teacher team training and development for 2011-2012 school year	
Monitoring Procedure	Survey and needs assessment results will be presented by Elementary principals to Executive Director for Professional Development planning ONLY; Executive Director will report of professional development planning and implementation to the ARP Monitor five days prior to the first ADC meeting in the months of May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012	
3302.10 (I)(1)(d)	The ARP Monitor presents progress report to the ADC at the first meeting in May 2011, August 2011, November 2011, January 2012 and April 2012	
Notes	Training costs estimated at \$100 per teacher x 200 teachers. *Strategy aligns to Ohio's Race to the Top Application	

Revised Proposed Cost June 28, 2010

Plan	Title	Estimated Cost	Total
District	Academic Recovery Plan Monitoring and Oversight	\$100,000.00	
District	Systems Audit	\$100,000.00	
District	Fiscal policy update	\$0.00	
District	District ARP Implementation Team	\$6,615.00	
District	Increase District Enrollment	\$25,000.00	
District	Leadership Mentors	\$405,000.00	\$636,615.00
ePreK-5	Building ARP Implementation Teams	\$15,435.00	
ePreK-5	Teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams	\$0.00	
ePreK-5	K-1 Class Size Reduction (15:1 S:T Ratio)	\$2,042,760.00	
ePreK-5	Double Literacy Intervention	\$0.00	
ePreK-5	School Literacy Coaches	\$136,184.00	
ePreK-5	Public Pre-School Staffing	\$0.00	
ePreK-5	Intervention/Acceleration Services	\$30,000.00	
ePreK-5	Asset Mapping	\$15,000.00	\$2,239,379.00
Gr 6-8	Building ARP Implementation Teams	\$5,292.00	
Gr 6-8	Teacher-based ARP Implementation Teams	\$0.00	
Gr 6-8	Double Literacy Intervention	\$0.00	
Gr 6-8	School Literacy Coaches	\$272,370.00	
Gr 6-8	Asset Mapping	\$5,000.00	
Gr 6-8	Intervention/Acceleration Services	\$20,000.00	\$302,662.00
Gr 9-12	Building ARP Implementation Teams	\$5,292.00	
Gr 9-12	Teacher-Based ARP Implementation Teams	\$0.00	
Gr 9-12	Double Literacy/Double Math Intervention	\$0.00	
Gr 9-12	Asset Mapping	\$7,500.00	
Gr 9-13	Intervention/Acceleration Services	\$20,000.00	\$32,792.00
		\$3,211,448.00	\$3,211,448.00